

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/600,674	06/20/2003	Robert G. Aslanian	AL01583K1	6070
24265	7590 10/19/2004		EXAM	INER
SCHERING-PLOUGH CORPORATION			CHANG, CELIA C	
	PARTMENT (K-6-1, 1 PING HILL ROAD	1990)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KENILWORTH, NJ 07033-0530			1625	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/600,674	ASLANIAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Celia Chang	1625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply		correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 Ai</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 20-24 is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the correct Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive In (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 1625

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

1. Response and election of group I, claim 15 and claims 1-14, 16 reading on the elected compounds and claims 17-19 for the method of treating congestion with partial traverse of the method restriction in the reply filed on Aug. 3, 2004 is acknowledged. The partial traversal is on the ground that other patents have allowed multiple methods. This is not found persuasive because the current state of the art in disease treatment is pathology and compound oriented. While a compound showing efficacy in variation of pathologies can receive patentability in multiple specific method claims, no nexus showing that all the compounds encompassed by the instant elected subgenus having nexus to all the utility of claims 17-19. The only data present in the specification is receptor binding and its preferred range with a single Ki of example 5 (see p.48). Please note that such information provided no nexus to the multiple utility of claims 17-19. Receptor binding without specific limitation and nexus to art recognized utility are considered reach through claims (see Baker Botts "In print").

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Based on the election, claims 1-16, the compounds and composition together with method of treating congestion using the elected compounds of following:

A compound selected from formula I as following:

$$R^{1} \times \begin{pmatrix} (R^{12})_{a} & (R^{13})_{b} \\ (R^{13})_{b} & (R^{13})_$$

wherein

a is 0-3;

b is 0-3;

n is 2;

p is 1 or 2;

r is 0, 1, or 2 and that the sum of r and p is 2;

 M^1 is N, M^2 is CR^3 ;

Art Unit: 1625

Y is C=O, C=S, $(CH_2)_q$, $C(O)NR^4$; $C(O)CH_2$, SO_{1-2} , or C(=N-CN)-NH; X is a bond or C_{1-6} alkylene; q is 1-5; R^1 is

$$\mathbb{R}$$
 \mathbb{R}
 \mathbb{R}

Q is NR⁸;

k is 0-4;

R, R², R³, R⁴, R⁷, R⁸ and Z are as defined in claim 1, are examined.

The remaining subject matter of claims 1-19 and claims 20-24 are withdrawn from consideration per 37 CFR 1.142 (b).

2. Claims 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what does the term "allergy-induced airway responses" mean. What are the conditions encompassed by this term? Please note that the term lacks antecedent basis in the specification and no description was found for this condition.

3. Claims 17-19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

As stated in the MPEP 2164.01(a) "There are many factors to be considered when determining whether there is sufficient evidence to support a determination that a disclosure does not satisfy the enablement requirement and whether any necessary experimentation is "undue". The factors to be considered herein are those set forth as the In re Wands, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (1988) decision.

Art Unit: 1625

Nature of invention

The instant claims are drawn to treating distinct and diversified disease using the compounds of claims 1-15. In claims 17 both hyper and hypo motility, hyper-(cardiovascular disease) and hypo-tension, hyper and hypo-CNS activity are being treated. The treatments were described being the result of the compounds being histamine H3 antagonists (see page 1 specification). Screening procedure for histamine H3 receptor was disclosed on page 47-48 with one single Ki for example 5.

The state of the art and predictability

Applications described only the steps of receptor assay with compounds being screened by the assay are considered to be lacking of a specific description and enablement for method of treating conditions mediated by receptors (see Baker Botts). Further, it was evidenced that indolyl compounds useful in treating nasal congestion functions through the alpha-2-adrenoceptor mechanism. While compounds having H3 receptor activity and useful in treating nasal congestion do not have similar structure as the claims (see US5,217,986, 5,352,707). While relief nasal congestion without the alpha-adrenoceptor side effect, only combination of H1 and H3 receptor blockade can produce such result (see CA 136:48247).

The amount of guidance and working examples

In view of the above evidenced complexity in treatment of nasal congestion involving receptors and the lack of nexus between the instant claimed compound and treatment of nasal congestion, the disclosure of a single ki value for example 5 lacks description and enablement for the claims. The instant specification provided no guidelines as to how to pick and choose the various compounds with properties that correlate to the treatment of nasal congestion.

- 4. Claim 1-14, 16 are objected to because they contain the non-elected invention. It is recommended that the elected scope be incorporated into the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Terzioglu indolyl compound art.

Art Unit: 1625

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Celia Chang whose telephone number is 571-272-0679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cecilia Tsang, can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

OACS/Chang Oct. 15, 2004

Celia Chang Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1625